

Transmission Initiative Routing Study



Prepared for:
NEAC

An Initiative to Export Nevada's Renewable Energy

February 2012

A COLLABORATIVE EFFORT BY:



TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1-1
1.1 SECTION PURPOSE.....	1-1
1.2 EXPORT OPPORTUNITY SUMMARY	1-1
1.3 PREFERRED PROJECT SUMMARIES	1-2
1.3.1 North Project	1-3
1.3.2 East Project.....	1-4
1.3.3 South Project	1-6
1.4 CONTINGENT PROJECT SCENARIOS.....	1-8
1.4.1 South Project in Absence of RTI.....	1-8
1.4.2 South Project with RTI Built.....	1-9
1.5 PROJECTS COSTS AND RATINGS SUMMARY.....	1-10
1.6 MARKET EXPORT OPPORTUNITY SUMMARY	1-12
1.7 BENEFITS TO NEVADA	1-13
SECTION 2: PROJECT HISTORY	2-1
2.1 SECTION PURPOSE.....	2-1
2.2 RENEWABLE ENERGY EXPORT HISTORY	2-1
2.3 NEVADA ENERGY ASSISTANCE CORPORATION	2-4
2.4 THE TRI SAGE TEAM	2-7
SECTION 3: NEAC SCOPE & PROCESS	3-1
3.1 SECTION PURPOSE.....	3-1
3.2 PROJECT SCOPE	3-1
3.3 EXAMINATION OF POTENTIAL EXPORT OPTIONS	3-1
3.4 MAPPING & CONSTRAINTS	3-3
3.5 ROUTING	3-4
3.6 CONCEPTUAL DESIGN AND COST ESTIMATING.....	3-4
3.7 SUMMARY OF PROJECT COSTS AND MATRIX EVALUATION	3-4
SECTION 4: NEAC STRATEGIC TRANSMISSION DISCUSSION.....	4-1
4.1 SECTION PURPOSE.....	4-1
4.2 BACKGROUND	4-1
4.2.1 Transmission Historical Development	4-1
4.2.2 Regulatory Summary.....	4-2
4.3 GENERATION COLLECTOR SYSTEMS AND NEVADA GRID EXPANSION.....	4-4

4.3.1	<i>Existing Grid Constraints</i>	4-4
4.3.2	<i>Existing Grid Detail Summary</i>	4-5
4.3.3	<i>ON Line Transmission Line</i>	4-11
4.3.4	<i>Grid and Collector System's Expansion</i>	4-11
SECTION 5: ELECTRIC GRID EVALUATION		5-1
5.1	SECTION PURPOSE	5-1
5.2	INITIAL TRANSMISSION EXPORT REVIEW AND ANALYSIS PROCESS	5-1
5.2.1	<i>Existing Information Review</i>	5-1
5.2.2	<i>Electric Routing Objectives</i>	5-2
5.3	ROUTING RATIONALE FOR ELECTRIC EXPORT AND GRID CONNECTIVITY	5-3
5.3.1	<i>Renewable Energy Zones and Geographic Constraints</i>	5-3
5.3.2	<i>AC versus DC Export Consideration</i>	5-5
5.3.2.1	North Project	5-6
5.3.2.2	East Project	5-6
5.3.2.3	South Project	5-7
5.3.2.4	Summary	5-7
5.4	PROPOSED ELECTRIC INTERCONNECTION POINTS	5-7
5.4.1	<i>North Project</i>	5-9
5.4.1.1	North Project Objective	5-9
5.4.1.2	North Project Technical Discussion	5-10
5.4.1.3	Existing Alturas Intertie Capabilities	5-11
5.4.1.4	Existing Export Limitations	5-12
5.4.1.5	Additional Modifications to Existing Grid	5-13
5.4.2	<i>East Project</i>	5-13
5.4.2.1	East Project Objective	5-14
5.4.2.2	East Project Description	5-14
5.4.2.3	Existing Path 32 Capabilities	5-15
5.4.2.4	Future Capacity Considerations	5-17
5.4.3	<i>South Project</i>	5-17
5.4.3.1	South Project Objective	5-18
5.4.3.2	South Project Transmission Technical Discussion	5-19
5.5	PRELIMINARY TECHNICAL PARAMETERS	5-22
SECTION 6: ROUTING & CONSTRAINT MAPPING		6-1
6.1	SECTION PURPOSE	6-1

6.2 ROUTING APPROACH6-1

 6.2.1 Routing Process Overview6-2

 6.2.2 GIS Methodology6-3

6.3 GIS CONSTRAINT MAPPING6-4

 6.3.1 Land Status / Ownership6-4

 6.3.2 Topography and Slopes6-5

 6.3.3 Roadways, Trails and Roadway Crossings6-6

 6.3.4 Stream Crossings6-7

 6.3.5 Railroad Crossings6-7

 6.3.6 Wetlands6-7

 6.3.7 Areas of Critical Environmental Concern6-8

 6.3.8 Desert Tortoise and Sage Grouse Habitat6-8

 6.3.9 Herd Management Areas6-9

 6.3.10 Existing Utilities and Utility Crossings6-9

 6.3.11 Wilderness Areas and Wilderness Study Areas6-10

 6.3.12 Vegetation6-10

6.4 ROUTING ANALYSIS6-12

6.5 ROUTE SUMMARIES6-18

 6.5.1 North Route Details6-18

 6.5.2 East Route Details6-18

 6.5.3 South Route Details6-19

6.6 EVALUATION MATRIX6-19

 6.6.1 Permitting6-20

 6.6.2 Right-of-Way Acquisition6-20

 6.6.3 Constructability6-21

 6.6.4 Matrix Evaluation Results6-21

SECTION 7: CONCEPTUAL DESIGN AND COSTING 7-1

7.1 SECTION PURPOSE7-1

7.2 TRANSMISSION LINE CONCEPTUAL DESIGN7-1

7.3 TRANSMISSION LINE COSTING7-5

7.4 SUBSTATION CONCEPTUAL LAYOUTS & COSTING7-8

 7.4.1 North Project Substations7-8

 7.4.1.1 North Fernley Substation7-8

 7.4.1.2 Oreana Substation7-9

 7.4.1.3 San Emidio Substation7-9

7.4.1.4 Viewland Substation 7-10

7.4.2 East Project Substations 7-11

7.4.2.1 Robinson Summit Substation 7-11

7.4.2.2 IPP Substation 7-12

7.4.2.3 Mona Substation 7-13

7.4.3 South Project Substations 7-14

7.4.3.1 Anaconda-Moly Substation 7-14

7.4.3.2 Clayton Substation 7-15

7.4.3.3 Lida Substation 7-16

7.4.3.4 Ridgecrest Substation 7-17

7.4.3.5 Antelope Substation 7-17

7.4.3.6 Pahrump Substation 7-18

SECTION 8: REPORT CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS..... 8-1

8.1 SECTION PURPOSE 8-1

8.2 REPORT SUMMARY 8-1

8.3 OUTREACH & COORDINATION WITH REGIONAL & PROPOSED INTERSTATE TRANSMISSION PROJECTS 8-2

8.4 DISCUSSION OF POTENTIAL BENEFITS TO NEVADA & BUSINESS CASE DEVELOPMENT 8-2

8.5 FEDERAL & REGIONAL TRANSMISSION MONITORING & INVOLVEMENT 8-3

8.6 SOLIDIFY DISCUSSIONS WITH CALIFORNIA UTILITIES / DEFINE PURCHASE OPPORTUNITIES 8-4

8.7 PROJECT FINANCING DISCUSSION 8-4

8.8 TRANSMISSION PROJECT RATINGS 8-5

8.9 ENVIRONMENTAL APPLICATION PREPARATION 8-7

8.10 PRELIMINARY AND FINAL DESIGN REQUIREMENTS 8-8

8.11 PROPERTY AND RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION 8-9

Figures and Tables

Figure 1.1 – NEAC Proposed Export Route Map 1-2

Figure 1.2 – Preferred North Route (Project) 1-3

Figure 1.3 – Preferred East Route (Project) 1-5

Figure 1.4 – Preferred South Route (Project) 1-7

Figure 1.5 - South Route Alternative without RTI but with VEA Project 1-8

Figure 1.6 - South Route Alternative with RTI 1-9

Table 1.1 – Projects Summary of Costs and Ratings 1-11

Figure 4.1 – WECC Path Rating Summary Map 4-6

Figure 4.2 – WECC Path 58 Breakout Map 4-7

Figure 4.3 – Current System Import/Export Paths in Nevada 4-10

Figure 4.4 – NV Energy Renewable Transmission Initiative 4-13

Figure 5.1 – Physical Constraints Map of California-Nevada Border 5-4

Figure 5.2 – Physical Constraints with Renewable Energy Zones 5-5

Figure 5.3 – Possible Export Routes into California Market 5-8

Figure 5.4 – North Project Segments 5-10

Figure 5.5 – System Overload Scenario A 5-12

Figure 5.6 – East Project Segments 5-14

Figure 5.7 – System Overload Scenario B 5-16

Figure 5.8 – System Overload Scenario C 5-17

Figure 5.9 – South Project Segments 5-20

Table 5.1 – Thermal Rating Summary for North Project 5-23

Table 5.2 – Thermal Rating Summary for East Project 5-24

Table 5.3 – Thermal Rating Summary for South Project (Anaconda-Clayton-Antelope) - No RTI 5-24

Table 5.4 – Thermal Rating Summary for South Project (Includes Segment to Pahrump) – No RTI 5-25

Table 5.5 – Thermal Rating Summary for South Project (Lida-Antelope) – With RTI 5-25

Figure 6.1 – Representation of a Constraints Map (example of South Project at Border) 6-11

Figure 6.2 – Nevada-California Border Constraints Map 6-12

Figure 6.3 – Nevada-to-California Preliminary Routes 6-14

Figure 6.4 – Routing Alternatives and Line Segments 6-17

Figure 6.5 – North Route with Line Segments 6-18

Figure 6.6 – East Route with Line Segments 6-18

Figure 6.7 – South Route with Line Segments 6-19

Figure 6.8 - Preferred North Route (Project) 6-21

Figure 6.9 – Preferred East Route (Project) 6-22

Figure 6.10 – Preferred South Route (Project) 6-22

Figure 6.11 - South Route Alternative without RTI but with VEA Project..... 6-23

Table 6.1 – Evaluation Matrix Preferred Routes Summary 6-24

Table 6.2 – Evaluation Matrix – North Project Options – From Oreana 6-25

Table 6.3 – Evaluation Matrix – North Project Options – From North Fernley 6-26

Table 6.4 – Evaluation Matrix – East Project Options..... 6-27

Table 6.5 – Evaluation Matrix – South Project Options – From Clayton..... 6-28

Table 6.6 – Evaluation Matrix – South Project Options – From Lida 6-29

Figure 7.1 – Example Terrain Model..... 7-2

Figure 7.2 – Typical 500 kV Structure Types used for Conceptual Design..... 7-3

Figure 7.3 – Structure Spotting Example 7-4

Figure 7.4 – Typical Modeling Screen of PLS-CADD Conceptual Design 7-4

Table 7.1 – Preferred Routes – Transmission Costs Summary 7-7

Figure 7.5 – Conceptual Layout of North Fernley Substation..... 7-8

Figure 7.6 – Conceptual Layout of Oreana Substation 7-9

Figure 7.7 – Conceptual Layout of San Emidio Substation 7-10

Figure 7.8 – Conceptual Layout of Viewland Substation - without LMUD Project 7-10

Figure 7.9 – Conceptual Layout of Viewland Substation - with LMUD Project..... 7-11

Figure 7.10 – Conceptual Layout of Robinson Summit Substation..... 7-12

Figure 7.11 – Conceptual Layout of 500 kV Interconnection at IPP 7-13

Figure 7.12 – Conceptual Layout of 345 kV Interconnection at IPP 7-13

Figure 7.13 – Conceptual Layout of Mona Substation..... 7-14

Figure 7.14 – Conceptual Layout of Anaconda-Moly Substation..... 7-15

Figure 7.15 – Conceptual Layout of 500 kV Interconnection at Clayton Substation 7-15

Figure 7.16 – Conceptual Layout of Interconnection at Clayton Sub; Continuation to Pahrump Sub 7-16

Figure 7.17 – Conceptual Layout of Lida Substation..... 7-16

Figure 7.18 – Conceptual Layout of Ridgecrest Substation 7-17

Figure 7.19 – Conceptual Layout of Antelope Substation 7-18

Figure 7.20 – Conceptual Layout of Pahrump Substation 7-18

Table 7.2 – Substation Cost Estimates Summary..... 7-19

Appendices

APPENDIX A

- A-1 Transmission References from RETAAC, NVE IRP's, and PUCN Filings
- A-2 Summary of Neighboring States RPS
- A-3 RETAAC Phase II Report Reference and Maps
- A-4 Assembly Bill No. 387
- A-5 State of Nevada – Executive Order 2011-18
- A-6 Intermountain Power Agency Members List

APPENDIX B

North Project Details, Estimates and Maps (Client Privileged and Confidential Information; Provided Under Separate Cover)

APPENDIX C

East Project Details, Estimates and Maps (Client Privileged and Confidential Information; Provided Under Separate Cover)

APPENDIX D

South Project Details, Estimates and Maps (Client Privileged and Confidential Information; Provided Under Separate Cover)